

Question: Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? Overall, the widespread use of the internet has a mostly positive effect on life in today's world. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? (Agree)

Controlling Idea #1: Education

Detail #1: foreign language learning

Detail #2: speed information access

Controlling Idea #2: Business

Detail #1: E-commerce (online shopping)

Detail #2 Customer Service

Scientists invented the internet approximately 30 years ago, and it remains inextricably woven into every aspect of human society. Since its inception, policymakers, parents, and organizations debate whether the internet offers mainly positive life contributions. Based on education and business factors, this essay sides with the internet as an overwhelmingly beneficial technology for humanity.

The educational enhancements from the internet support a viewpoint of its more positive force. Without the internet, foreign language education would sit decades behind its current status and global impact. To explain, as opposed to foreign language learning pre-internet, various tools and services such as Duolingo, Instagram, and Rosetta Stone exist to enable language learning globally. In a similar fashion to a foreign language, the internet changed the speed of universal information access. Now, a researcher can quickly gather information from 30 libraries worldwide in minutes, whereas before the internet, these investigators could access their local libraries.

The globalization of business occurred due to the internet, which illustrates its overall beneficial impact. Take online shopping as an example of this positivity, where consumers now prefer purchasing products on a computer or phone rather than in-person. This shopping phenomenon trends positively as it increases safety, reduces production costs, and provides access to a global market. Another way the internet enhances humanity centers on the aspect of customer service since humans can receive responses to complaints much faster. Social media applications like Facebook and Instagram offer the ability for instant feedback, thereby increasing customer happiness.

Based on the impact on education and business, the world has entered a phase closer to a utopia than any point in history. The past was slower and inefficient in education and business, but now the internet has acted as a tool to streamline these areas of life enable greater access.

(Word Count: 295)

Question: Many people find it difficult to speak in front of and to present to an audience. It is believed that this skill should be taught in school. Why is this important? To what extent do you agree or disagree with it?

Controlling Idea #: Why important

Detail #1: improve academic performance overall

Detail #2: Builds personal development

Controlling Idea #2: 100% Beneficial Decision (Agree)

Detail #1: everyone engages in it

Detail #2: Make a difference in your life, business, community

Since the inception of government and civilization, humans have engaged in various forms of public speaking. The current relevance of public speaking in the 21st century causes policymakers and education experts to debate, including this skill, as a curriculum add-on. This essay will examine the significance of including audience presentations and support this move as a beneficial decision.

Implementing public speaking in the school curriculum holds value in two primary areas. The first of these areas relates to the improved academic performance derived from speaking in front of others. If a student learns a robust public presence through speaking, their stress will reduce in the current and future classes, and they can offer well-rounded answers through enhanced critical thinking skills. Slightly secondary to academic performance, the decision to provide public communication as a course embodies a valued administrative decision since it builds personal development. Numerous studies show that a clear, confident speaking voice fosters self-esteem, leadership, and confidence, which are all beneficial student attributes.

The public should offer uncompromising support towards a mandatory public speaking course. Almost every human eventually engages in public speaking, and this course will provide skills to grow in stature, leadership, and personal development. These three skills allow students to differentiate themselves in life, business, and community. This difference occurs through influencing the thoughts of colleagues, friends, and compatriots, which inevitably creates more positive outcomes in academia, industry, and relationships.

Although one could offer various reasons to impose public speaking skills on students as a significant action, probably academic performance and personal development hold the most weight. Every concerned citizen should place 100% support behind this decision since most parents would desire a child with improved stature, leadership, and personal development that would enable the power to influence others' thoughts and create a brighter future. (299)

Question: Some cities create new housing for their growing populations by constructing a smaller number of high-rise buildings. Other cities create more of low-rise buildings. Which solution is better, in your opinion? (Choice: Low-Rise)

Controlling Idea #1: Better for families

Detail #1: controlled environment

Detail #2: Offer peace and quiet

Controlling Idea #2: Surrounding Amenities

Detail #1: green areas access

Detail #2: business facilities

Every city must consider its housing concerns for increasing populations every year, which often brings forth various proposals. One such project juxtaposes building low-rise accommodations against constructing high-rise residences. After careful consideration, the administration should move forward with low-rise dwellings for families' sake and the surrounding amenities offered.

A township should select low-level housing because they present better options for families. This housing offers a controlled environment because a smaller building requires less space to monitor, and parents with children would appreciate this. These voting family constituents desire this controlled environment because it allows for greater security and neighbor awareness. Governments yearn to please voters, and building low-rise housing will increase family satisfaction with the administration since this housing provides more peace and quiet. High-rise buildings present constant noise, which parents find undesirable for raising children and therefore a failure in government decision making.

Low-rise domiciles not only benefit families, but they also furnish better-surrounding amenities. These amenities include access to green areas with landscaped grounds, gardens, and walking paths, which the families mentioned above would love. Green spaces not only please families, but they also improve oxygen intake, which every government wants to enhance for residence. Moving forward with more evidence to support low-rise accommodations, residents of these buildings often find local business facilities such as markets, shops, and services tailored to their needs. As a result of the green area and increased business amenities, governments should proceed with low-rise housing.

Even though some positives for high-rise buildings might exist, they do not outweigh the positives related to low-rise buildings. The factors of family benefit and surrounding amenities demand that a metropolis support the smaller building choice. (Word Count: 275)

Question:

Some people believe that face to face communication is always more powerful than written communication. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement? Give your own opinion and include relevant examples.

Outline (completely AGREE Face to Face Better)

1. Builds Trust (controlling idea)

Non-verbal present (detail #1)

Can clarify meaning (detail #2)

2. Builds Relationships (controlling idea)

Increase camaraderie (detail #1)

Shared experiences possible (detail #2)

Communication conveyed through verbal, non-verbal, and written forms often ranks as a cornerstone of human civilization. A recent controversy revolves around the question of the most potent or impactful communication; commentators interestingly dispute whether face-to-face or written discourse should hold this position. As expressed below, the strength of one-on-one dialogue far surpasses text centered because it builds trust and relationships.

Face-to-face verbal exchange carries more weight than written text because it **builds trust** to a higher degree. As opposed to letter and faxes, conversing woman-to-woman includes body language and voice tone, which send unconscious messages of credibility. For example, a colleague took the option of providing an oral rather than written presentation for promotion, and supervisors found him more trustworthy due to eye contact, nodding, and a smile. Besides these points, exchanging words while directly facing a colleague or friend allows for clarifying intent and meaning, which in turn increases belief in the speaker. Notwithstanding the above convincing arguments, another supporting idea warrants discussion.

In addition to enhancing trust, man-to-man deliberations **strengthen relationships** beyond the capacity of words on paper. An emailed correspondence would not present opportunities for camaraderie as would discussions among in-person colleagues. To clarify, camaraderie elevates corporate culture by maximizing engagement through idea exchange and conflict resolution. What's more, face-to-face communication builds long-lasting relationships because direct contact creates shared experiences that construct comfortable atmospheres absent in written language. For example, potential clients often reject written proposals due to their cold nature, whereas an in-person meeting could reveal shared experiences that lead to completing the deal. These relationship arguments explain favoring face-to-face discourse.

Of course, communication will occur in written and verbal context, but if one seeks an exchange that contains power and force, chose dialogue face-to-face. We should not underestimate the trust and relationship enhancements provided through conversing in-person.

Question: (Partial Agreement Answer Same Question)

Some people believe that face to face communication is always more powerful than written communication. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this statement? Give your own opinion and include relevant examples.

Outline (Partially Agree Face-to-Face)

1. Builds Trust

a. Non-verbal present

b. Can clarify meaning

(Partially Agree Written Communication)

2. Keeps a Record

1. Prevents mistakes in facts details

Communication conveyed through verbal, non-verbal, and written forms often ranks as a cornerstone of human civilization. A recent controversy revolves around the question of the most potent or impactful communication; commentators interestingly dispute whether face-to-face or written discourse should hold this position. To a certain extent, person-to-person dialogue surpasses written formats because it builds trust; however, the written word contains a record, and that would elicit some support.

Face-to-face verbal exchange carries more weight than written text because it **builds trust** to a higher degree. As opposed to letter and faxes, conversing woman-to-woman includes body language and voice tone, which send unconscious messages of credibility. For example, a colleague took the option of providing an oral rather than written presentation for promotion, and supervisors found him more trustworthy due to eye contact, nodding, and a smile. Besides these points, exchanging words while directly facing a colleague or friend allows for clarifying intent and meaning, which in turn increases belief in the speaker. Notwithstanding the above convincing arguments, another supporting idea warrants discussion.

How can one fully commit to outright favoring eye-to-eye discussion as the most compelling communication when written language aids in recording the details contained within dialogue? Experts acknowledge how solely verbal communication leaves the window open to mistakes in facts and details due to the weakness of memory, whereas an email avoids this fatality since it remains available to revisit and review. Take, for example, the previously referenced promoted colleague who avoided a conflict situation because a secretary transcribed a verbal meeting, which revealed the next meeting location. This influential tool of reexamining the written conversation explains why the written word deserves some support.

Of course, communication will occur in written and verbal context, but if one seeks an exchange that contains power and force, chose dialogue face-to-face. We should not

underestimate the benefits provided through the written word, but overall, communicating in-person embodies more impact.

Note: Your thesis statement does not have to be worded exactly how I worded mine. You are correct if the necessary elements are present: main idea/author's position and controlling ideas. Of course, if you are writing mistake-free sentences and using high-scoring sentences (compound, complex, compound-complex, simple sentences of 8+ words), you will impress the examiners more.

Note: Your Introduction does not have to be worded exactly how I worded mine. You are correct if the necessary elements are present: (1) General Topic Sentence, (2) Paraphrase of Question, and (3) Thesis Statement. Of course, if you are writing mistake-free sentences and using high-scoring sentences (compound, complex, compound-complex, simple sentences of 8+ words), you will impress the examiners more.

Note: Your Topic Sentences do not have to be worded exactly how I worded mine. You are correct if the necessary elements are present: main idea/author's position and controlling idea. Of course, if you are writing mistake-free sentences and using high-scoring sentences (compound, complex, compound-complex, simple sentences of 8+ words), you will impress the examiners more.